

Letters to the Editor

The Board of Editors does not hold itself responsible for opinions expressed in the letters published in this section. The notes containing short reports of original investigations communicated to this section should not contain many figures and should not exceed 500 words in length. The contributions reaching the Secretary by the 15th of any month may be expected to appear in the issue for the next month. No proof will be sent to the author.

4

GONIOMETRIC STUDY OF TERBIUM SULPHATE OCTAHYDRATE

RUPENDRA KUMAR KASHYAP

PHYSICAL LABORATORIES, AGRA COLLEGE, AGRA, INDIA

(Received March 9, 1963)

Single crystal of $\text{Tb}_2(\text{SO}_4)_3 \cdot 8\text{H}_2\text{O}$ were crystallised from aqueous solution of the rare earth salts in triple distilled water by slow evaporation at room temperature. The salt was prepared from the spectroscopic pure variety of oxide of terbium supplied by Johnson and Mathtie Co. Ltd. (London). Well formed crystals were sorted out and tested under a polarising microscope for checking any probable twining.

A two circle goniometer used for the measurements of the interfacial angles of the crystals was modified to give better and clear reflections even from striated surface of the crystals and thus the labour of selecting crystals with extremely good faces from a large number for goniometric purpose, was avoided. This was achieved by introducing a new form of cross-wire "A CROSSED FILAMENT BULB" in place of non-luminous cross-wire in the collimator. The image of this crossed-filament formed at the telescope after reflection from the crystal surface was bright on a dark background (while the image of non-luminous cross was dark) and so could be seen and located much more precisely and easily.

The forms represented by the crystal are orthopinacoids $\{100\}$ and basal-pinacoids $\{001\}$, $\{10\bar{1}\}$ and $\{11\bar{1}\}$. The normal crystallographic angles taking symmetry considerations and good reflections are given in Table I. The notations for faces are according to Groth (1908)

The faces $a(100)$ and $c(001)$ were chosen following Groth (1908) in case of $\text{Er}_2(\text{SO}_4)_3 \cdot 8\text{H}_2\text{O}$, and Kashyap (1963) in case of $\text{Dy}_2(\text{SO}_4)_3 \cdot 8\text{H}_2\text{O}$. A stereogram was drawn to check the symmetry of crystal.

TABLE I

No.	Angles between faces	Measured angles	Calculated angles
1.	a(100):c(001)	61°42'	—
2.	c(001):p(101)	40°34'	—
3.	p(10 $\bar{1}$):w(111)	63°2'	—
4.	a(100):r(101)	—	37°41'
5.	a(100):w(11 $\bar{1}$)	84°36'	84°28'
6.	a(100):q(011)	—	76°31'
7.	c(001):q(011)	—	60°33'

The interfacial angles were calculated by methods as given by Tutton (1922). These are included in Table 1.

From these values we get

$$\text{Axial Angle : } \beta = 180^\circ - a(100) : c(001) = 180^\circ - 6142' = 118^\circ 18'$$

$$\text{Axial Ratios : } \frac{c}{a} = \frac{\sin c(001):r(101)}{\sin a(100):r(101)} = \frac{\sin 24 \ 1'}{\sin 37 \ 41'} = 0.66577$$

$$\frac{c}{b} = \frac{\tan c(001):q(011)}{\sin \beta} = \frac{\tan 60 \ 33'}{\sin 118 \ 18'} = 2.0115$$

$$\frac{a}{b} = \frac{a}{c} \times \frac{c}{b} = \frac{2.0115}{0.66577} = 3.0213$$

$$a : b : c = 3.0213 : 1 : 2.0115$$

It is seen that these ratios of $a:b:c$ and the value of β agree well with other octahydrated isomorphous sulphate crystals such as of Pr, Nd, Er and Gd (Groth, 1908) and Dy (Kashyap, 1963).

The author is grateful to Prof. A Mookherji, D.Sc. for his kind help and guidance in the work.

REFERENCES

- Groth P. (1908), *Chemische Kristallographie*, Leipzig, 2, 454-461.
 Kashyap R. K. (1963), *Agra University Res. Jour.* 12, part I.
 Tutton (1922), 'Crystallography and Practical Crystal Measurement'—(London)